Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/067,234	KOKUBO, MASARU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Bhattacharya	2687
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Sam Bhattacharya.	(3)	
(2) <u>Larry N. Anagnos</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2005.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∐ applicant's representativ	re]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>3</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none discussed</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)□	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="It was agreed that amending claim 3">It was agreed that amending claim 3</a> as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment would place the application in condition for allowance.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
,		
	9	
·		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's s	ignature, if required